Grant Application

Evaluative Criteria	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34
Project Purpose Is the need of the project clearly communicated and convincing? Is project timely? Is the project feasible based on information provided? **MAX 10 pts**																																		
Potential Impact Will the benefits of the project have direct impact on PA producers? What is the geographical impact of project? Has clearly defined partners, is collaborating with other specialty crop stakeholders? Does it support the mission of PDA? **MAX 25 pts**																																		
Measurable outcomes Is there at least 1 measurable, quantifiable outcome that will benefit the specialty crop industry in Pennsylvania? Is the method of measure reliable and sound? Is the method of data collection valid and useful? **MAX 15 pts**.																																		
Work Plan Do the described tasks seem reasonable, well thought out and feasible given timeline and budget? Do they have partners? **MAX**																																		
Budget How reasonable and appropriate is the amount requested? Are there matching or in-kind funds? **MAX 15pts**.																																		
Project Oversight Grant administrative experience to provide accurate and timely reporting and follow through on project? Does the lead coordinator and/or organization have a positive track record with grant																																		
Project Commitment Is there industry support for project? Is there an active role for industry stakeholders in project? Is there matching or in-kind support for project? Is there sufficient outreach of conclusions to benefit specialty crop stakeholders? **MAX 5 pts**.																																		
Funding Priority Project meets one of the 2013 identified state priorities and is demonstrating collaborative and partnering benefits **MAX 15pts.**																																		
TOTAL POINTS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Scoring	Recommendation
90-100	Should be funded
80-89	Should be given consideration
70-79	Could be given consideration
60-69	Revisions are needed if to be considered
<60	Should not be considered